UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,014	10/632,014 07/31/2003 Christopher J. Calhoun		MA9606P	9368
	7590 04/01/201 BUYAN & MULLIN	EXAMINER		
4 VENTURE, S	SUITE 300	SOROUSH, ALI		
IRVINE, CA 92	2018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/632,014	CALHOUN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ALI SOROUSH	1616		

		/ LI GONGGOIT	1010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE	REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which pla with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Re	ces the equest
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.	
have t under set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extensinally set in the final Office action;	sion fee or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the	date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially re		s for
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ootou dianno.	
4. 🔲			ompliant Amendment (PTOL-32	24).
5. 🗍	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•	-
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29 and 34-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		il be entered and an explanation	on of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to prov	
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance becau	use:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). [ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	nann R. Richter/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616	3/27/10		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the rejection of claims 1-29 and 34-36 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Bakker et al. does not treat each and every limitation of the claims. Applicant's argument has been fully considered but found not to be persuasive. Bakker et al. teach applying a biodegradable polymer composite sheet around a tissue or bone to prevent adhesion between the tissue and bone. The biodegradable polymer can be formed from polylactides. It is the Examiners position that the limitations of independent claim 1 has been met by the teachings of Bakker et al. For the foregoing reasons, the rejections of record are maintained.